## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	_
10/055,863	01/22/2002	Heidrun Engler	016930-000816US	4929	
20350 7590 09/10/2007 TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			EXAMINER		
			PESELEV, ELLI		
EIGHTH FLOO	OR SCO, CA 94111-3834		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	_
brittienei	.bco, c/1 /4111-3034		1623		_
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	_
			09/10/2007	PAPER	_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/055,863	ENGLER ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Elli Peselev	1623
Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHC WHICI - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRIENT STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DASIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 DIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)☐ <sup>-</sup>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 Ju</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p	
Dispositio	on of Claims		•
4 5)⊠ ( 6)⊠ ( 7)⊠ ( 8)□ ( <b>Applicatio</b> 9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Examine	vn from consideration. s/are allowed. re rejected. r election requirement. r.	<b>F</b> . a. a. i. a. a.
F	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access  Applicant may not request that any objection to the office of the correction of the corre	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) [	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority documents  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents  Application from the International Bureau  The ethe attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicatity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation Noved in this National Stage
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Informa	s) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date

Application/Control Number: 10/055,863

Art Unit: 1623

Claims 82, 99, 104, 105 and 113 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no support in the specification, as originally filed, for the tem "interferon" (claims 82, 99 and 113). Note the in the specification on page 14, lines 27-28 only four specific interferons .are disclosed. The specification fails to disclose that any interferon can be used.

Claims 28-41 and 82-97 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for chlorine ion, does not reasonably provide enablement for counterion (claim 28). The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

A conclusion of lack of enablement means that, based on the evidence regarding each of the factors below, the specification, at the time the application was filed, would not have taught one skilled in the art how to make and/or use the full scope of the claimed invention without undue experimentation.

(A) The breadth of the claims.

The claims encompass all possible counterions.

(B) The amount of direction provided by the inventor.

The inventor has not provided any direction on how to choose a countrion which will result in a compound having useful in enhancing delivery of therapeutic agents.

Application/Control Number: 10/055,863

Art Unit: 1623

(C) The existence of working examples.

The working examples are directed to a single counterion i.e. chlorine ion.

Page 3

(D) The quantity of experimentation needed to make or use the invention based on the content of the disclosure.

Because there is no way to predict a priori which others counterions, besides, chlorine, will result in useful compounds, it would take an undue amount of trial and error to determine specific counterions which result in compounds having the desired property.

Applicant's arguments filed July 26, 2007 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claims 100-103 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elli Peselev whose telephone number is (571) 272-0659. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00-4.30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shaojia Jiang can be reached on (571) 272-0627. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/055,863

Art Unit: 1623

1162

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Elli Peselev

ELLI PESELEV PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200 Page 4